MINUTES Faculty Meeting Tuesday, April 12, 2016 3:00 pm

3:00 pm Faculty Meeting

a. Program Area alignment ADHs and DH Attachment A. Dr. Murphy indicated that Dr. Elliot received only 2 or 3 requests to change. If you wish to alter your Program Area assignment, please contact Dr. Elliot. This is the best way to allocate resouces to you.

b. Post Tenure Review

Dr. Briers gave Dr. Robert Strong the floor to discuss issues raised during the Faculty Senate meetings.

The document has been provided to faculty many times to review. Dr. Strong mentioned several recommendations have been made and he encourages everyone to read over the document. Your voice matters and all can be engaged. Provide any suggestions to Dr. Briers or Dr. Strong. P&T calendar as Attachment B.

c. DH meetings

Murphy

Briers

i. Merit

Dr. Murphy indicated Dr. Hussey had announced that a Merit Pool existed, but had not provided the number. In other discussions, the available pool was described as 3 %. All figures are subject to change, and funding for Agency appointments was not predicted at all.

- ii. Instructional facility update Discussions of new facilities continue, and tentative locations have been proposed.
- iii. FLSA

Dr. Hussey explained this very well. Depending on the IRS guidance, as many as 60 or 70 positions may be vacated in the Agencies. This could impact some staff positions as well, with changes to exempt or non-exempt status. Worse case -- \$51,000 and below must be hourly. All depends on IRS decisions that are expected to be announced in July.

iv. Budget rescission

There has been no discussion in any agency, or at TAMU, that there could be a budget rescission in the current budget. Speculation is that one may be possible after the election.

v. Corporate Relations: IDC

Attachment C. Dr. Murphy suggested that if you are planning to work with a private entity/corporation, begin the discussion with Corporate Relations – prior to providing even an estimated budget. Corporate relations will assist you with budgeting in a way that explains what we call IDC to corporate sponsors.

vi. SPHERE

Attachment D. A multimedia display projected on a Globe will be available in the AGLC this summer. If you are aware of data sets that could be better visualized on a global display, consider making use of this device.

vii. Position requests

Seven position requests were submitted to Dr. Hussey. These were not favorably received. The Deans suggested we think of existing programmatic functions we want to expand/enhance, or new programmatic functions we want to grow into, and identify the resources we have – and then those we need. These initiatives must be – at a minimum – collaborative across the department – and really should engage other departments and colleges.

One example engaged the Youth Development Initiative (RPTS), our faculty in teaching and learning, and curriculum development, our Program Evaluation group – to improve curriculum, instruction, and evaluation in youth development programs using new models of quantitative assessment, existing data (big data), longitudinal assessment, etc. We should consider what we already have – and then identify what we need – to accomplish the tasks with new faculty – or to free up existing faculty to accomplish the tasks. Dr. Elliot will be convening a group of those interesting in developing new requests. Contact Dr. Elliot with suggestions.

PROGAM AREA ALIGNMENT

NAME	ALED/USAL	AGSC	AGCJ	EXED	INTL AG
Andrews	2			1	
Beevers			1		
Boleman				1	
Boyd	1			2	
Briers		1			2
Cadena		1		2	2
Chilek				1	
Clement					1
Coppernoll	2			1	
Cummings				1	the state of the second s
Dooley	1				2
Dromgoole				1	
Dunsford		-	1		
Edney		1			2
Elbert	1				2
Elliot		2	2		1
Hancock		1			
Hanagriff		1			2
Harlin	1				
Leggette/Archer	1000		1		
Lindner	1			to info a	2
Mazurkiewicz				1	2
McKim			1		
Moore	1		2		
Murphrey	1				
Murphy		1	and the second sec		
Odom	1	1998 Barrier Concession (1998)			
Patil	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Pina	2				
Rayfield		1		2	
Redwine			1		
Rutherford			1		
Shackelford				1	1. 01. 1 Martin 1
Stavinoha	1				
Strong J	1				
Strong R	1				
Turner	1				
Vestal				1	
Wingenbach	2				

College of Agriculture and Life Sciences Texas A&M AgriLife Research Texas A&M AgriLife Extension

2016-17 Promotion and Tenure Calendar College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Texas A&M AgriLife Research & Texas A&M AgriLife Extension

Promotion and Tenure Workshops

Dean of Faculties Workshops	and the second se
	Dean of Faculties New Faculty Orientation - Colleges Session
	Demystifying the Tenure and Promotion Process.
	Overview of the tenure and promotion process at TAMU. The workshop is for
	tenure track positions at all stages of their career, including those putting together
	their dossier for this P&T cycle.
April 13, 2-4 PM, Rudder 701	Is this the Right Time or Not? Preparing for Promotion to Full Professor"
	Designed for associate professors contemplating promotion to full professor. When
	is it time to think about promotion? What criteria are used during the assessment of
	this promotion phase? What role can mentoring play in this process?
	and how one how one of the set of
College and AgriLife Worksh	IODS
	Agriculture Human Resources New Faculty Orientation – Faculty Promotion
	and Performance Evaluation
	Overview of the promotion and tenure procedure and process.
March 23, 3-5 PM, KLCT 121	Understanding the Promotion and Tenure Process
	Understanding procedure and process, faculty peer and administrator expectations
	for promotion and tenure, and characteristics of successful and unsuccessful dossiers.
	The program is applicable for faculty in the College, AgriLife Research, and
	AgriLife Extension, and for faculty going for tenure, promotion to Associate or
	promotion to Professor.
March 30 3-5 PM AGI S 129	Candidate Dossier Preparation Workshop: For candidates preparing their Dossier
11111011 50, 5 5 1 111, 110115 127	for submission this cycle.
April 6, 3-5 PM, AGLS 129	Administrative Dossier Preparation Workshop: For Heads, Resident Directors,
	P&T Chairs and staff involved in preparing Dossiers packets for submission.
April 20 1:30-3:30 Rudder 410	Awareness of Decisions in Evaluating for Promotion & Tenure (ADEPT)
1.pm 20,1.50 5.50,100001 +10	The ADEPT Workshop is a dynamic and interactive workshop designed specifically
	for department heads and P&T committee members The workshop focuses on a
	broad range of biases that impact the faculty evaluation process, and will share
	skills to mitigate the impact of such biases.
August, place & time TBA	Administrative Check-Off List Workshop: Final workshop to go over check-off
Tragast, place & time 1211	list for compliance of the final dossier package. For Heads, Resident Directors, P&T
	Chairs and staff involved in preparing the final Dossier packets.
Anytime	Mentoring Beyond the Department, one-on-one meeting with Dave Reed,
	dwreed@tamu.edu; answer questions, explain the process, review CV, discuss
	strategy relative to timing, etc.
	Sildieg Folder to thinks, stor
Midterm Review Timeli	nes
February-March	Department Informs Candidate: Department informs candidate of upcoming
rebruary-waren	review and instructs them to start putting together packets using the latest Dossier
	preparation guidelines: http://aglifesciences.tamu.edu/faculty-staff/promotion-tenure/
As per Dept. timeline	Candidate submits Dossier components to Department: The candidate submits
As per Dept. timerine	their Dossier components to the Head/Resident Director and/or Chair Departmental
	P&T Committee
As per Dept. timeline	Departmental Review: Departmental P&T Committee, then Head and Resident
As per Dept. timetine	Director review Dossier, and insert reports.
May 30 COB	Department submits Dossier package to College: (including candidate's CV,
114 J J COD	separate Statements on Teaching, Research and Service, Dept P&T Committee
	report, Head/Resident Director report(s), and any items in Item 13)
May 31-June 2	College vets Dossiers : College vets for compliance with current Dossier preparation
Truy 51-Julie 2	guidelines; sends check-off list to departments
	guidennes, senus encek-on his to departments

All instructions, guidelines and forms needed can be found at: http://aglifesciences.tamu.edu/faculty-staff/promotion-tenure/ http://dof@tamu.edu

June 6 COB	Department corrects and submits final version of Dossier to College by COB
	June 6.
June 9 - August 1	College and Texas A&M AgriLife Peer Review Committee: Reviews and
	prepares reports.
August 1	College and Texas A&M AgriLife Peer Review Committee: Issues report to
5	Dean/Director.
August	Dean, Director and Administrative Team: Review Dossiers
End of August	Dean, Director and Administrative Team: Issues report to Head to inform each candidate.
Early September	Post-Review Mentoring: At the discretion of the candidate, the College mentors
	mid-term candidates towards the perspective at the College-Agency Peer Review
· The standard	committee-level.
Promotion and Tenur	e (Mandatory) Review Timelines:
February-March	Department Informs Candidate: Department informs candidate of upcoming
	review and instructs them to start putting together packets using the latest Dossier preparation guidelines: http://aglifesciences.tamu.edu/faculty-staff/promotion-tenur
As per Dept. timeline	Candidate submits Dossier components to Department: The candidate submits their Dossier components to the Head/Resident Director and/or Departmental P&T Committee
	Departmental Review: Departmental P&T Committee, then Head and Resident Director (if applicable) review Dossier, and insert reports.
September 19 COB	Department submits bookmarked PDF of Dossier to College: (including
	candidate's CV, separate Statements on Teaching, Research, Service, Extension, an other material, Dept. P&T Committee report, Head/Resident Director report(s), and external letters)
September 19-23	College vets Dossiers: College vets Dossiers for compliance with the current
September 19 20	Dossier guidelines; return to Department to make corrections.
September 23 COB	Department returns corrected final Dossier to College: One bookmarked PDF o
different de la des	Dossier.
September 26 – November	
	Dossiers and prepares reports to the Dean.
October 10	Dept submits to Dean's office: PDF of CV; Excel file of External Reviewers Char
	and Grant Summary Chart; Word file of Biography and Summary Data Table and candidate's photo.
November	College and Texas A&M AgriLife Peer Review Committee Submits to Dean:

November ____

November 17–December 2

Final report

Dossiers.

to the College.

Table and External Reviewers Chart.

hard copy files of all candidates.

and the man the distribution

December

November-December

By end of Semester

And A server block the lot of the server

December

Directors: Review AgriLife Research and AgriLife Research Dossiers, inform Head of results, and issues report to the Vice-Chancellor.

When controlling the party rate, and the set of the set

College and Texas A&M AgriLife Peer Review Committee: Submits Final reports

Dean submits to DOF: Electronic copies of College chart (no need for College P&T and Dean's vote at this time), Faculty Biography Table, Faculty Summary Data

Dean submits to DOF: Recommendations to the provost by sending electronic and

College and Texas A&M AgriLife Peer Review Committee: Reviews AgriLife Research and AgriLife Extension Dossiers and prepares reports for the Dossier

Dean, Executive Associate Dean & Administrative Team: Reviews TAMU

The Real Operational Costs in Indirect Costs

<u>What are indirect costs?</u> Costs not readily identifiable with a specific product, function, or organizational activity but <u>incurred</u> for the joint benefit of both projects and other activities. An indirect cost (IDC) rate is simply a device for determining fairly and expeditiously the proportion of general (non-direct) expenses that each project will bear.

<u>What are these REAL costs?</u> Indirect costs include costs which are frequently referred to as <u>overhead expenses</u> and general and administrative expenses.

- Utilities costs
- Administrative salaries
- Accounting department costs
- Research administration
- Human resource costs
- Depreciation on buildings & equipment
- Infrastructure buildings, electrical, telephone, computing, heating and cooling, roads, parking lots
- Operations & Maintenance
- Other facilities greenhouses, specially equipped labs, biosafety
- Legal and technology protection

• Libraries

Why does the federal government negotiate an IDC rate with organizations?

The Texas A&M University System applies for a federally negotiated rate to use on grants, contracts, cooperative agreement and other projects for the federal government. The IDC rate negotiated is the device to simply, fairly and consistently charge overhead costs to the federal government. It does not apply to ALL projects, but it in-general a good guide for projects that do not include federal funding.

When should the federally negotiated rate be used as a budget line item?

Where allowed on federally sponsored contracts, grants, or cooperative agreement.

How should overhead costs be shown on corporate or industry projects?

For corporate sponsors, Operational Costs (i.e. indirect cost) should not be directly budgeted, but should be included in each line item. Also, a project management line item is expected by corporations to demonstrate and assure performance. Private industry understands Operating Costs are important and are real dollars for facilities, equipment and maintenance, administrative needs, water, electricity and other direct expenses related to conducting R&D. Do not refer to these costs as indirect costs, IDC, F&A or overhead as the reference is misleading. Such terms are only used to prepare budgets for federal or state projects where operational costs are limited to a pre-negotiated percentage.

Reference:

https://oamp.od.nih.gov/dfas/indirect-cost-branch/indirect-cost-submission/indirect-costdefinition-and-example

http://assets.system.tamus.edu/files/budgetsacct/pdf/FA_Rates_College_Station_Basec-FY2015-18.pdf

University	2016 Rate for Research on campus	
Virginia Tech	61	
Penn State	57.2	
UC Berkeley	57	
UC Davis	56.5	
UC Denver	55.5	
Cornell other State Agency	55	
Purdue	55	
University of Michigan	55	
Arizona State	54.5	
UCLA	54	
Michigan State	53.5	
University of Wisconsin	53	
Ohio State	52.5	
Florida State	52	
University of Oklahoma	51	
Washington State	51	
Georgia State	50.5	
Iowa State	50	
Kansas State	50	
University of Florida	50	
University of Kansas	50	
University of Texas	50	
University of Alabama	49	
West Virginia University	49	
Texas A&M AgriLife	48.5	
Auburn	48	
New Mexico State	46	
Oregon State	46	
Oklahoma State	45.8	
Cleveland State	45.5	
California State	45	
Illinois State	45	
University of Mississippi	. 44	
Cornell USDA	42.9	

How does the Texas A&M indirect cost rate compare to other universities?



Dataset library

To further extend the educational use of the Science on a Sphere display system, the NOAA has collected and developed a wide range of visualizations. These datasets – almost 500 – provide a new way to "see" the world.

Data sets include:

- Cropland yield, production gaps, intensity
- Vegetation and land cover
- Carbon flux
- Climate models
- Magnetic and geomagnetic fields
- Fire observations and vegetation
- Climate and precipitation model
- Surface temperatures
- Weather events

sos.noaa.gov

Science On a Sphere® (SOS) is a room sized, global display system that uses computers and video projectors to display planetary data onto a six foot diameter sphere, analogous to a giant animated globe. Researchers at NOAA developed the SOS system as an educational tool to help illustrate Earth System science to people of all ages. Animated images of

atmospheric storms, climate change, and ocean temperature can be shown on the sphere, which is used to explain what are sometimes complex environmental processes, in a way that is simultaneously intuitive and captivating.

The SOS system was initially developed as a way to explore environmental data using new visualization techniques. When combined with the narration and supporting educational material, a well-crafted visualization provides a



unique and powerful teaching tool. Over the past several years, NOAA has been using SOS to support educational initiatives, primarily in informal education venues, such as those found in science centers and museums.

From June 1 to August 15, 2016, an SOS system will be on display in the AgriLife Center and available for use by faculty and staff. The system can be reserved for use with classes, seminars, lectures and related activities. The AgriLife Center staff will have access to a list of almost 500 datasets that can be pulled up on the system for interactive use.

For more information or to reserve the SOS system, please contact Rebecca Hamilton, AGC coordinator at (979) 845-3792 or rebecca.hamilton@ag.tamu.edu